The meeting was called to order Monday May 13, 2019 at 12:03 p.m.

The members of the Board present were Ms. Christine Altman-President, Mr. Mark Heirbrandt-Vice President and Mr. Steven C. Dillinger-Member. Also present was the Hamilton County Surveyor, Kenton C. Ward and members of his staff: Mr. Steve Baitz, Mr. Gary Duncan, Mr. Andy Conover, Mr. Jerry Liston, Mr. Steve Cash, Mr. Rueben Arvin and Mr. Luther Cline.

Approve Minutes of April 22, 2019:
The minutes of April 22, 2019 were presented to the Board for approval.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the minutes of April 22, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Bid Opening - Benton Hinesley Drain, Grass Waterway:
Mr. Peter Springsteen, Mr. Steve Milbourn, Mr. Scot Van Horn and Ms. Dee Snively were present for this item.

Howard stated the first bid is from Van Horn Excavating with all required forms present and a bid of $132,990.34; the second bid is from Millennium Contractors with all required forms present and a bid of $365,640.00; the last bid is from Morphey Construction with all required forms present and a bid of $294,000.00. This appears to be all the bids on this project. Do any persons know of any other bids; seeing none I recommend the bids be referred to the Surveyor’s Office for review and recommendation on May 28, 2019.

Dillinger made the motion to refer the bids to the Surveyor’s Office for review and recommendation at the Board’s May 28, 2019 meeting, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Bid Opening - Anchorage Drain:
Mr. Peter Springsteen and Mr. Steve Morphey were present for this item.

Howard stated the first bid is from Millennium Contractors with all required forms present and a bid of $175,000.00; the next bid packet is from Morphey Construction with all required forms present and a bid of $177,845.00. This appears to be all the bids on this project. Do any persons know of any other bids; none appearing I recommend the bids be referred to the Surveyor’s Office for review and recommendation on May 28, 2019.

Heirbrandt made the motion to refer the bids to the Surveyor’s Office for review and recommendation on May 28, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Williams Creek Drain, Springmill Streams Arm - Mitchell Easement:
The Surveyor stated we have one of the two easements on the Springmill Streams Drain. This is two easements to get from the street to our easement that’s already platted. The Mitchell easement is signed and ready for acceptance by the Board.

Heirbrandt made the motion to accept the Mitchell Easement for the Springmill Streams Arm of the Williams Creek Drain, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

52.5 Hearings - Findings & Order:
The Surveyor stated a question has come up within the office. We have not been doing the findings and order for reconstruction on the 52.5 hearings. I believe that was from a conversation when we first got the 52.5 hearing statute. Should we not be doing something to that effect?

Howard stated it wouldn’t be a bad idea to put together a form that would not have to be...

The Surveyor stated here’s the reconstruction form.

Altman asked is what was in the packet?

The Surveyor stated yes.

Altman stated this form needs to be rearranged.

The Surveyor stated this form goes back years.

Howard stated we’ll work on that. We would do a little more watered down one for the 52.5 hearings because 52.5 is on land totally under the control of the petitioner and all costs are paid by the petitioner.

The Surveyor stated correct.

Howard stated there’s no notices, there’s no assessments.

The Surveyor stated IC 36-9-27-52.5.
**Hearing Requests:**
The Surveyor asked the Board to set the following items for hearing on June 24, 2019: Ed Waltz Drain, Bear Slide Arm, 231st Street Extension; Hinkle Creek Drain, 225th Street Extension; Little Cicero Creek Drain, 281st Street Extension; Martha Darrah Drain, Anthony Road Extension 2; Rebecca Roberts Drain; Clara Knotts Drain, 96th and College Reconstruction.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the items presented for hearing on June 24, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Altman asked on the Clara Knotts are we sure it’s the Parkwood Crossing Homeowners Association?

The Surveyor stated I double checked.

Altman asked isn’t that a business property?

The Surveyor stated yes.

Altman asked if the Surveyor would check with the Secretary of State’s Office. I want to make sure we have good notice. It should be owner’s association.

Howard stated or just a CAMA agreement, Common Area Maintenance Agreement.

The Surveyor stated that’s probably what it is because the detention pond is the area we’re talking about.

Altman stated and it’s owned by the association. I think homeowners is incorrect.

The Surveyor stated if you like we can look up the deed on that.

Howard stated yes, check the property record card and the last deed of record.

The Surveyor stated that was also done in the 1990’s.

Howard stated you could probably look at the prepared statement on either the plat or the document and you might be able to figure it out.

**Elwood Wilson Drain, E.M. Hare Arm – Alternate Alignment:**
The Surveyor stated this is the proposed alignment in green of the Elwood Wilson Drain, E.M. Hare Arm. Mr. Thompson is proposing the yellow for relocating it instead of through his future development to the north of it along the edge. I’ve got the top of the bank set at 25 feet from the north property line because I didn’t feel that because he wanted it moved north that the people to the north should be saddled with easement. Then we can reduce the easement on that side to 25 feet, keep the 75 feet on the other side because that is going to be one deep ditch. Right now it’s six feet deep through what is green.

Altman asked what’s the slope at?

The Surveyor stated 3:1. If you look at the proposed its 10 feet, 16 feet, 8 feet, 10 feet, 11 feet so it’s going to be a little wide.

Altman asked it’s right next to a road?

The Surveyor stated no, it’s going to be right up against the north property line which is the red line.

Altman stated I’m not familiar, what’s north of that?

The Surveyor stated this is Whitcroft Farms. A fellow by the name of Dicks used to own one piece, but I think Musselman purchased it. I wanted to run this past the Board, show you what’s being planned. Corby (Thompson) and I will be meeting next week to talk about this. The cost estimate for this area goes from $300,000.00 to over a million for the amount of dirt coming out of it.

Altman asked what are they going to do with the dirt, fill in the other?

The Surveyor stated that’s a tile ditch right now. They’re going to waste it on their site.

Heirbrandt asked have you talked to the Musselman’s?

The Surveyor stated no I haven’t.

Howard asked is Musselman under Option 2?

The Surveyor stated I doubt it.

Heirbrandt asked I thought there was an email that went out from a Musselman to all three of us just this last week.
Howard stated I would think he would not be objectionable to this because it opens him up.

The Surveyor stated he will still be able to get access to it, but most of their ground actually goes to the arm to the north.

Altman stated they’ll get noticed regardless, correct?

The Surveyor stated yes.

Howard asked is this a 52.5 hearing?

The Surveyor stated no, this would be an addition on the E.M. Hare Arm when we finally get the plans finished. We’re waiting on this.

Final Reports:
The Surveyor presented the following final report to the Board for approval.

“To: Hamilton County Drainage Board
Re: Little Eagle Creek Drain – Albany Place Sec. 2
May 1, 2019

Attached are as-built, certificate of completion & compliance, and other information for Albany Place Section 2. An inspection of the drainage facilities for this section has been made and the facilities were found to be complete and acceptable.

During construction, changes were made to the drain, which will alter the plans submitted with my report for this drain dated April 29, 2016. The report was approved by the Board at the hearing held July 25, 2016. (See Drainage Board Minutes Book 17, Pages 50-52)

The changes are as follows: the 12” RCP was shortened from 436 feet to 430 feet. The 15” RCP was shortened from 203 feet to 199 feet. The 18” RCP was lengthened from 421 feet to 427 feet. The 6” SSD was lengthened from 4,449 feet to 4,460 feet. The length of the drain due to the changes described above is now 5,516 feet.

The non-enforcement was approved by the Board at its meeting on July 25, 2016 and recorded under instrument #2018051589. Sureties were not submitted by the developer in accordance with IC 36-7-4-709.

I recommend the Board approve the drain’s construction as complete and acceptable.

Sincerely,

Kenton C. Ward, CFM
Hamilton County Surveyor

Heinbrandt made the motion to approve the final report presented, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Ellis Barker Drain – Set Bid Date:
The Surveyor asked the Board to set a bid date on the Ellis Barker Drain for June 24, 2019.

Heinbrandt made the motion to set the bid date for the Ellis Barker Drain for June 24, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Ellis Barker Drain – Johnson Easement:
The Surveyor stated we received the easement on the Ellis Barker Drain for the Johnson Property. This is for the reconstruction of the drain. We’re going to be going from the original tile location which runs through a portion of the Johnson Property and we’re moving the open ditch that we’re creating to the south and along his south property line and back up into Lindley Run. We needed an easement from Johnson to do that and he provided that easement.

Heinbrandt made the motion to accept the easement across the Johnson property for the Ellis Barker Drain, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Ellis Barker Drain – Hearing Request:
The Surveyor stated we want to set a hearing for the Ellis Barker Drain for June 24, 2019. We know that’s a little uncommon, but we want to work with some hard numbers. Our intention is at the hearing and the opening of the bids at the same time. When we have the hard numbers then we can go back to the developers involved and get everything portioned out at that time.

Altman asked this is covered by developers?

The Surveyor stated yes. Now, we do have a question. The Board at a prior meeting decided that the payment would be once the final report of the construction or reconstruction is completed.
Heirbrandt asked what do you recommend?
The Surveyor stated what they’re asking for is that it be payable over a five-year period instead of one lump sum. We could go either way, five years doesn’t bother me, but it’s up to the Board.

Howard asked do we have cash?
The Surveyor stated we have enough cash to cover for the time being.
Altman asked and we’ll have first priority second to property tax?
Howard stated sometimes when we do that we add some interest to it. Statute allows us 15%. We usually look more toward market. The funds are probably earning 1%, but we never do that. We usually look at something closer to market so if you’re at 4% or 5% you’re still giving them a break.

Altman stated let’s do half a point under prime variable.
Howard stated you’ll probably spend more money counting money than the money’s worth.
The Surveyor stated if we could go fixed it’s a lot easier on bookkeeping on our side.

Heirbrandt stated let’s go fixed.
Altman stated let’s go fixed at prime at the date of wherever because they can’t borrow it better than that.
Heirbrandt made the motion to set the Ellis Barker Drain Reconstruction for hearing on June 24, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Ellis Barker Drain - Deferred Assessment:
The Surveyor stated another question that the developers had there are some properties…
Duncan stated the exhibit shown in green is acreage that is within the watershed but is not presently being developed.

Heirbrandt asked tell me exactly where this is at?
Duncan stated 196th Street and Grassy Branch Road. The red is the second half of the Olthof homes.

Altman asked what colors are participating?
Duncan stated right now blue and red are participating. We have some type of former agreement with M/I Homes that they’re researching to see what our agreement was. That kind of left with Mr. Hoyes. They’re going to do some research on their end to see, but right now it’s the blue and the red and they’re asking for potentially a deferred assessment or some type of participation from the two in green. The reason for that is the infrastructure that will be paid for with this project has been sized for that acreage. This acreage will essentially be able to plug into it and not have to do any downstream improvements.

Altman stated we’re still talking about the million plus project, right?
The Surveyor stated this is the Ellis Barker project.
Altman stated right. The total cost of this project is how much?
Duncan stated it’s about a million.

Altman stated a million plus. These guys are paying for it.
Duncan stated correct.
Altman asked is it going to benefit the entire watershed or is it just going to benefit those developments except for this issue by M/I Homes?
Duncan stated right.
The Surveyor stated the two properties in green will be benefitted. The one out here west of that green parcel…

Heirbrandt asked do you think they’re going to get water?
Altman stated the only reason I ask is if these guys are spending over a million dollars to do this should we consider some kind of Barrett or participation for other properties that want to hook up so they get a reimbursement for some of their expense. That seems only fair when it’s all on somebody’s shoulders.
Howard stated use the Urban Drain.
The Surveyor stated yes, and that area is definitely one that qualifies.
Howard stated it’s textbook and it’s blowing up and when it goes urban everybody in the watershed.

Heirbrandt asked explain to me what you mean by that?

Howard stated the Urban Drain section allows the Board to impose an assessment against the ground that is not being presently developed and keep that assessment basically as undeveloped land, but when that land develops and I think we did it out at Exit Ten with Noblesville. It’s when the plat is approved then that assessment, that deferred assessment is paid and that money goes to the developers or whatever entity they designate in the Urban Drain Assessment, they get reimbursed.

The Surveyor stated we did that with Corby Thompson on the Lehr Drain.

Howard stated we don’t use it very often, but when we do it allows; in the Exit Ten scenario the City of Noblesville paid all those costs and there were people that got a windfall and then as those come in the City; I don’t think the city got a check for ten years, but every once in a while it starts coming in.

Altman stated the good thing is it might incentivize some of the developers to come in and upfront a bunch of these costs, which is exactly what we want to do so I really think on these projects where they’re bearing the burden we ought to give back and make sure they’re made whole if they’ve benefitted somebody else.

Howard stated I think we add some interest to that. We’d probably do a flat sum at 4% or 5% or whatever but put a flat sum in there that would appreciate each year. That assessment gets recorded and becomes a lien against the property. To get their permit they have to pay it.

The Surveyor stated I’ll look at the last one we did and see what we did on that.

Altman stated fair is fair and if we can get these people to start picking up and making improvements now is a good thing.

Howard asked are we going to need easements from any non-developable land that is phase 1?

The Surveyor stated we have the one from Johnson.

Duncan stated the easement needed is at Johnson’s request. He wants it realigned so the drain doesn’t separate his property.

The Surveyor stated we’d like to go ahead and set that hearing for the 24th of June and between now and Friday somebody’s going to be working on the report to do what changes we made today.

Heirbrandt made the motion to set the Ellis Barker Drain for hearing on June 24, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

**Private Drain Petition - DeYoung/Johnson:**
Conover presented his report to the Board.

"To: Hamilton County Drainage Board  April 30, 2019

Re: DeYoung Private Drain Petition

On April 19, 2018 an Obstruction of Private Drainage Petition was received by the Hamilton County Surveyor’s Office. The petition was filed by Daniel and Katherine DeYoung. The petition was in proper form and all required information was submitted.

The petition identified an obstruction located on downstream property identified as parcel #10-07-16-00-00-006.000, located at 11737 E 211th Street, Noblesville, IN 46060.

The obstruction was identified as being a drainage tile which crosses the parcel located at 11737 E 211th Street, Noblesville, IN 46060. The petition alleges that the obstruction is caused by a blockage in the tile which causes water to back up onto the property of the petitioner and several other properties in the area.

The deeded owner for this parcel is listed in the county records as Arden A. Johnson, 20880 S R 37 North Noblesville, IN 46060, however county records also indicate that the parcel at 20880 S R 37 N., Noblesville, IN 46060 is not owned by Arden Johnson and notices to that address were returned. Numerous unsuccessful attempts to contact Art Johnson were made. There was discussion on this during the May 14, 2019 drainage board meeting D.B. 18, Pgs. 135-136.

On November 1, 2018 a new address was received for Arden Johnson and notice was sent to him. On November 11, 2018 I received a call from Arden Johnson in response to the letter I had sent him. After discussing the private drain petition process Arden said that he would hire contractor Austin Williams to run a camera on his property and see if there is
a blockage and if there is a blockage, he would have Austin repair it. No work was done at this time.

On April 23, 2019 I again spoke with Arden Johnson. He said that he would contact Austin Williams about having Austin go out and investigate this tile. I asked Arden to have Austin call me so I can give him the information that I have on this issue.

As of April 30, 2019, I have not heard from Arden Johnson or Austin Williams. I recommend that the Drainage Board set this petition for hearing for May 28, 2019.

Submitted by:
Andrew D. Conover

Heirbrandt made the motion to set this item for hearing for May 28, 2019, seconded by Dillinger.

Altman stated you need to correct your letter. On the fourth paragraph “the discussion was May 14, 2018”.

Conover stated that’s correct.

Altman asked who is Art Johnson?

Conover stated the property owner.

Altman stated my point is we go from Arden A. Johnson to Art. Pull out the Art’s because I figured that’s who it was, but again these things can get picked apart.

The motion had been made and seconded to set the hearing date for May 28, 2019 and approved unanimously.

Non-enforcements:
Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Little Eagle Creek Drain, Maple Village Arm filed by Stephen & Brooke Polivka for parcel #08-09-03-00-16-011.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, West Rail at the Station Arm filed by Scott & Amanda Fishman for parcel #08-09-10-00-17-061.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement for the Paulsel, Vance & Paulsel Drain, Lochaven Arm filed by Antonio Chan & Vivian Cintron for parcel #10-10-14-00-02-004.000 for an existing fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, Jackson’s Grant Arm filed by HDC, LLC for parcel #17-09-34-00-11-020.000 for a new residence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, Jackson’s Grant Arm filed by Christopher & Anica Kellams for parcel #17-09-34-00-10-035.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Village of West Clay Drain filed by Karla Kirby & Raileen Peterson for parcel #17-09-28-00-46-051.000 for replacement of existing fence with new fence on existing footprint. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Paulsel, Vance & Paulsel Drain, Lochaven Arm filed by Jeremy & Tracy Kashman for parcel #10-10-14-00-07-009.000 for an existing fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.
Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Paulsel, Vance & Paulsel Drain, Lochaven Arm filed by Nicholas & Kelly Badgett for parcel #10-10-14-00-07-017.000 for an existing fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Paulsel, Vance & Paulsel Drain, Lochaven Arm filed by Jacob & Mary Gordon for parcel #10-10-14-00-07-014.000 for an existing fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, Waterwood of Carmel Arm filed by Nabil Khalil for parcel #17-13-02-02-09-033.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Vermillion Drain filed by Chad Murray for parcel #13-16-08-00-08-009.000 for a fence with removable panels. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, West Rail at the Station Arm filed by Justin Schneider for parcel #08-09-10-00-17-033.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, Claridge Farms Arm filed by Jean-Pierre Breaux for parcel #17-09-33-00-03-031.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, English Oaks Arm filed by Dmitry Blumenkrants for parcel #17-13-05-00-15-017.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Liston presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, Village Farms Arm filed by Michael & Maryann Walker for parcel #08-09-14-02-13-015.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

**Surety Release:**

Liston stated that at this afternoon’s Commissioners meeting the Board would be releasing the following sureties: Irrevocable Letter of Credit No. 1238WVRM in the amount of $12,958.44 for the Woods at Vermillion Amenity Area, erosion control; Irrevocable Letter of Credit No. 1237WVRM in the amount of $13,935.60 for the Woods at Vermillion Amenity Area, storm sewer; Letter of Credit No. S507400 in the amount of $7,400.00 for Geist Community Center, Inc., erosion control. (Amended to decrease the original Letter of Credit from $43,000.00 to $7,400.00); Letter of Credit No. S507400 in the amount of $43,000.00 for Geist Community Center, Inc., erosion control.

**Construction Updates:**

Thorpe Creek Drainage Area, Martha Ford Arm Relocation - Liston stated the contractor has been back and was able to do some grading last week, but the rain stopped him again. We’re getting closer to closing that one out.

Thorpe Creek Drainage Area, John Underwood Arm Reconstruction - Liston stated Morphy Construction is moving on this project. I think we’re around on the Allen property and they’ve come back last week to focus on the crossing under 136th Street.

Altman asked how big is that pipe?

Liston stated 115 feet in length. We worked with the County Highway on this crossing because the twin pipes that were there had multiple failures and they had used asphalt to try to keep it in place and there was asphalt in the flowline of those pipes.
Cool Creek Park Bank Stabilization Project – Cline stated the contractor has all the trees and plantings installed on this project. This project is almost done.

Thistlewaitie Drain, California Street Arm Extension – Conover stated the storm drain is in, the structures are all in. They’re waiting for the weather to improve to put in the new sidewalk.

William Krause Drain, Reconstruction Phase 3 - Conover stated the contractor is waiting on weather to be able to get started. We have materials on site, but it’s too wet to begin working.

**Pending Asbuilts:**

William Krause Reconstruction Phase 1 - Conover stated we’re waiting for the asphalt to be finished. I did speak with the contractor and they are also being hired by the owner of the property to do the entire parking lot, but the property owner has some dumpsters there because they’re rehabbing the building so they’ve asked the contractor to wait until they get the dumpsters out so the whole project can be paved at one time.

Heirbrandt stated I was out there last weekend and it looks great. They’re doing a great job.

William Krause Reconstruction Phase 2 - Conover stated the contractor needs to come in and do the final grading. There are some issues with a little bit of erosion. Again, the weather has us behind, we can’t get out there and get anything done.

**Pending Final Report:**

Mindemere Pond Reconstruction – Cline stated the only thing we need is the HOA pond maintenance agreement.

Howard stated it’s at the Recorder’s Office. I just haven’t gotten over there and picked it up.

**Budget & Permit Update:**

The Surveyor presented the budget and permit update to the Board for their information. He asked if there were any questions.

There were no questions.

**Taylor Creek Drain, Jesse Devaney Arm – Robbins’ Property:**

The Surveyor stated I talked to Joe Robbins and he wanted an update of what’s going on.

Altman asked are we at a point where we need to start looking at outside consultants to pick up some of our engineering and work on projects?

The Surveyor stated on the Robbins’ property this is the one that Kay Hartley and I have been playing tag on and it’s been going on for a year.

Howard asked is this something we can push by setting something for hearing?

The Surveyor stated no, I need Hartley to sign the petition so we can get the engineer an amendment to their contract to design the rest of it to get the hearing.

Howard asked we have outside people to design it; it’s just getting her to sign the petition?

The Surveyor stated yes. VS is doing that job.

**Anchorage Drain – Bids:**

Heirbrandt stated those Anchorage bids, over $100,000.00 more.

The Surveyor stated that’s incredible. That’s not a $100,000.00 worth of work.

Duncan stated it’s 400 feet of 12” pipe.

Heirbrandt asked what’s your thoughts on that?

Altman stated rebid it.

The Surveyor stated I think we get quotes now. The legislature just pushed the quote to $150,000.00.

Howard stated in the first set of bids we had a contractor that was substantially lower than the other two. Do we know why they didn’t bid on the second project?

Duncan stated Van Horn didn’t bid on it because it’s in a neighborhood.

Heirbrandt stated Van Horn is more rural. Who’s usually really good at that?

The Surveyor stated we’re going to have to get three quotes.

Howard stated we have to send it to three contractor’s you don’t have to get three. You just have to send it to three companies that are known to do that type of work.
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The Surveyor stated with the Board’s permission we’ll do that.

Heirbrandt made the motion to allow the Surveyor to request quotes for the Anchorage Drain Project, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Altman asked did someone review the other bid that was within the engineer’s estimate? Can we award that today or not?

Howard stated by motion it was referred to the Surveyor’s Office for two weeks from today.

The Surveyor stated that’s going to work with our timeline.

Howard asked would some of the maintenance contractor’s look at something that small?

Duncan stated some of the firms would be okay working in a neighborhood and Elevation comes to mind. They did Windemere for us.

Dillinger made the motion to adjourn, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.
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