MINUTES OF THE HAMILTON COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD

December 9, 2019

The meeting was called to order Monday December 9, 2019 at 12:05 p.m.

The members of the Board present were Ms. Christine Altman-President, Mr. Mark Heirbrandt-Vice President; and Mr. Steven C. Dillinger-Member. Also present was the Hamilton County Surveyor, Kenton C. Ward and members of his staff; Mr. Steve Baitz, Mr. Sam Clark, Mr. Andy Conover, Mr. Jerry Liston, Mr. Gary Duncan, Mr. Luther Cline and Mr. Steve Cash.

Approve Minutes of November 25, 2019:
The minutes of November 25, 2019 were presented to the Board for approval.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the minutes of November 25, 2019, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

2020 Professional Services Agreement:
The Surveyor stated this is the agreement with Burke Engineering, our on-call agreement with them. This year the amount is $25,000.00, which is the same as the 2019 agreement.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the Stormwater Phase II On-Call agreement with Christopher Burke Engineering in the amount of $25,000.00, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

J. W. Hawkins Drain - Survey Request:
The Surveyor stated I’ve been meeting with Jeremy Kashman about some of the projects that Carmel is looking at. One of them is up in the Hawkins Ditch area. This is the open ditch on the east side of the Monon. It’s a regulated drain and they’re looking at cleaning it out and they only wanted to go so far. I suggested let’s look at the whole thing if we’re going to do it, lets do it right and do it once. I would like to recommend to the Board that we get three quotes for a survey to be done so we know where the high points are in the ditch and what needs to be done to the ditch.

Altman asked that’s fully regulated in that area?
The Surveyor stated yes.

Altman asked is there another regulated drain by that?
The Surveyor stated there is the Fertig Ditch coming into it under the railroad. It’s named Carmel Creek on GIS.

Altman stated I have a conflict, I’ve clients with major properties along that regulated drain.

Heirbrandt asked how much money do we have in that shed?
The Surveyor stated we have $343,133.40 in the shed and it brings in $73,363.50 per year.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve allowing the Surveyor to get three quotes on the J. W. Hawkins Drain, seconded by Dillinger and approved. Altman abstained.

Final Reports:
The Surveyor presented the following final report to the Board for approval.

“To: Hamilton County Drainage Board December 2, 2019
Re: Cool Creek: Sun Communications Business Park Sec. 2 Hoosier Storage Reconstruction

Attached are as-built, certificate of completion & compliance, and other information for Hoosier Storage. An inspection of the drainage facilities for this section has been made and the facilities were found to be complete and acceptable.

During construction, changes were made to the drain, which will alter the plans submitted with my report for this drain-dated November 17, 2017. The report was approved by the Board at the hearing held December 11, 2017. (See Drainage Board Minutes Book 17, Pages 557-558)

The changes are as follows: the 15” RCP was shortened from 222 feet to 212 feet. The length of the drain due to the changes described above is now 212 feet. It should be noted that the existing and relocated pipe was field verified to be a 15” RCP. The original as built for this site was incorrectly labeled. This project removed 199 feet of existing drain.

A drainage easement was dedicated to the Board of Commissioners and recorded under instrument #20170559234.
The following sureties were guaranteed by North American Specialty Insurance Company and released by the Board on its November 12, 2019 meeting.

Bond-LC No: 2259524
Amount: $27,430.40
For: Storm Sewers
Issue Date: November 6, 2017

I recommend the Board approve the drain’s construction as complete and acceptable.

Sincerely,

Kenton C. Ward, CFM
Hamilton County Surveyor

Dillinger made the motion to approve the final report presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

**Capital Asset Notification:**

The Surveyor presented a Capital Asset Notification for Westfield Business Park Drain, Sun Communications Park Section 2 to the Board for approval.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the Capital Asset Notification presented, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

**Award Bid – 2020 Rural Maintenance Contract:**

Baitz presented his report to the Board for approval.

"TO: Hamilton County Drainage Board
December 9, 2019

RE: Rural Drain Maintenance Contracts for 2020

The Surveyor's Office staff has completed a review of the 2020 Rural Drain Maintenance Bids received at the November 25, 2019 Drainage Board Meeting. John Ward Construction Inc., Van Horn Excavating, Elevation Excavating Inc., Redman Excavating, Forrer Excavating and Agricon Inc. were the responding bidders. All of the bids submitted were complete and acceptable.


Attached is a copy of the comparison spread sheet and bid sheets.

Sincerely,

Stephen A. Baitz
Drainage Inspector"

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the Surveyor’s Office recommendation on the Rural Maintenance Contract for the calendar year of 2020, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

**Award Bid – 2020 Urban Maintenance Contract:**

Baitz presented his report to the Board for approval.

"TO: Hamilton County Drainage Board
December 9, 2019

RE: Urban Drain Maintenance Contracts for 2020

The Surveyor’s Office staff has completed a review of the 2020 Urban Drain Maintenance Bids received at the November 25, 2019 Drainage Board Meeting. John Ward Construction Inc., Agricon Inc., and Elevation Excavating Inc., were the responding bidders. Bids submitted by Agricon Inc., John Ward Construction Inc., and Elevation Excavation Inc. were complete and acceptable.

Comparison of the submitted bids was evaluated using 2019 work order claims from January 1, 2019 through November 14, 2019. Using this method to evaluate the contractor’s bids, Agricon Inc. had an overall lower bid with John Ward Construction, and Elevation Excavation slightly higher.

The Surveyor’s Office recommends Agricon Inc. Elevation Excavating Inc. and John Ward Construction Inc. be awarded the 2020 Urban Maintenance Contract.

Attached is a copy of the comparison spread sheet and bid sheets.
Sincerely,

Stephen A. Baitz
Drainage Inspector

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the Surveyor’s Office recommendation on the Urban Drain Maintenance Contract for the calendar year of 2020, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Award Bid – Jetting, Televising & Related Services:

Baitz presented his report to the Board for approval.

TO: Hamilton County Drainage Board
December 9, 2019

RE: Jetting, Televising & Related Services Contract for 2020

The Surveyor’s Office staff has completed a review of the 2020 Sewer Cleaning & Televising Bids. Fluid Waste Services was the only responding bidder. The bid submitted by Fluid Waste Services was complete and acceptable. The bid costs submitted by Fluid Waste for this contract were slightly higher than the 2019 bid prices. The cost for Cleaning/Jetting is $20.00 higher, Televising is $30.00 higher, and Water truck is $30.00 higher. The Surveyor’s Office recommends Fluid Waste Services be awarded the 2020 Sewer Cleaning & Televising Contract.

Attached is a copy of the bid sheet.

Sincerely,

Stephen A. Baitz
Drainage Inspector

Altman asked we only got one bid? I thought we received two.

Baitz stated we had several contractors pick up, but Fluid Waste was the only one that returned a bid.

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the Surveyor’s Office recommendation on the Jetting, Televising and Related Services Contract for the calendar year of 2020, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Non-enforcements:

Clark presented a non-enforcement request for the Williams Creek Drain, Centennial Arm filed by Michael and Andrea Burton Family Trust for parcel #08-09-15-00-21-014.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Clark presented a non-enforcement request for the Vestal/Kirkendall Drain, Fremont Randall Arm filed by John and Carol Gasa for parcel #17-10-22-00-21-042.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Clark presented a non-enforcement request for the Long Branch Drain, Woods at Shelborne Arm filed by Hardik Shah for parcel #17-09-32-00-08-015.000 for a fence. The Surveyor’s Office recommends approval.

Dillinger made the motion to approve the non-enforcement request presented, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

Variance Request – White River (Fill in the Floodway):

Mr. James Shinneman, Ms. Melissa Kiefer, Mr. Chris Beaver and Mr. Rick Beaver were present for this item.

Cash stated the Board has a variance request by OldCastle Lawn and Garden and Beaver Materials. The variance request is by Beaver Materials and it involves the OldCastle Lawn and Garden/Beaver Materials project. As part of a new mulch, soil and stone bagging storage facility the petition proposes to excavate on site an area consisting of 14,440 cubic yards below the base flood elevation for White River in an effort to restore the floodplain storage volume on the Beaver Materials site. This is a 1:1 cut fill ratio and based on our topographic maps it has been determined that the floodplain in the 2007 to 2019 the same amount of 14,440 cubic yards of fill had been placed on the site below the base flood elevation. Over the years there’s been lots of activity on the site as a sand and gravel operation where there’s been fill and excavation in various areas.

Essentially this proposal is an effort to restore the floodplain to the 2007 volume levels on the Beaver Materials site. The site is located northeast of 160th Street and River Road in Noblesville Township. Old Castle Lawn and Garden is leasing an area that’s 19.83 acres from the Beaver Materials site in order to process, bag and store mulch, stone and soil. There would be both raw material storage and finish bags on pallets prepared for distribution to retail stores. The area designated for the OldCastle site
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is above the base flood elevation and based on the FEMA Letter of Map Revision that they have applied for and received the Surveyor’s Office who have met with the petitioners on this project and our standard staff recommendations regarding surety and engineer’s estimate and also the standard recommendation for release of said surety. One of our standard comments regarding the maintenance of the floodplain compensatory storage area, which is number 3 on the staff report. One thing that should be noted, I noticed it today, I had inadvertently typed “the following is to be placed on the Secondary Plat”. This is not going to be platted so there won’t be a secondary plat, but we would request that there be a recorded document that records this information. We have representatives of the project here if the Board has any questions.

Howard stated just for clarification I think in your presentation you said between 2007 and 2019 the amount of 14,440 cubic yards of fill had been placed on this site below; is it placed on this site below or...

Cash stated within the floodplain.

Howard stated so it essentially became fill and the petition is to remove that fill.

Cash stated correct.

Altman asked is the site they want to utilize and put the material currently outside the floodplain?

Cash stated the area in blue is the area they propose to cut and remove, and the current floodway based on the Letter of Map Revision is now located out in this area. We do have exhibits if you would like to see them about the previous floodplain line versus the current floodplain line.

Altman stated in your presentation I thought you indicated where the mulch storage would be is outside.

Cash stated it is outside.

Altman asked in the current condition?

Cash stated in the current condition.

Altman asked are they just mitigating what they did in essence?

Cash stated yes.

Howard stated and it’s contiguous to the floodway, but it is not part of the floodway.

Cash stated yes.

Altman asked the little stripes, is that where they are going to put the materials?

Cash stated one area is the pallets where the final product will be placed on pallets waiting to be shipped. There’s a building where they are going to do the finished product. The other area is the raw material storage.

Altman asked will all the storage be outside a flooding opportunity? We don’t want to have material sitting there waiting to float downstream if there’s an event.

Cash stated it’s above what is categorized as the 1% or 100-year storm; it’s above that elevation.

Altman asked if it’s now elevated above that we don’t have jurisdiction on what they utilize the ground for?

Cash stated if it’s above the 100-year that is the standard to which we historically measure everything as far as flooding.

Heirbrandt asked do you have any thoughts Mike (Howard)?

Howard stated I think as long as they’re above the 1:1 fill; why do we have jurisdiction in this? Is it because the 14,440 cubic yards is being moved because it was below the BFE before?

Cash stated this area is not annexed into the City of Noblesville, but it is within their planning jurisdiction. We’ve been working with the City Planning Department on this site. What we are responding to is how our ordinance is written it talks about floodways that are either regulated drain or non-regulated that are areas that are not annexed. This is not annexed into the City of Noblesville so that is what we are responding to, our ordinance.

Heirbrandt asked what is the Surveyor’s Office’ recommendation?

Cash stated our recommendation is approval based on the staff recommendation.
Heirbrandt made the motion to approve the variance request presented, seconded by Dillinger.

Altman asked the Surveyor, you support that?

The Surveyor stated yes.

The motion had been made and seconded to approve the variance request presented and approved unanimously.

William Krause Drain Reconstruction Phase 3 – Change Order No. 3:
Conover presented his report to the Board for approval.

"To: Hamilton County Drainage Board December 3, 2019
Re:William Krause Phase 3
Change Order #3

Change Order #3 is for additional tree removal required on the north side of SR47 for the new placement of structure #316.

Change Order #3
Clearing 0.5 acre @ $10,000.00 per acre -------------------------- $ 5,000.00
Cost by Millennium Contractors of Change Order # 3 -------------------------- $ 5,000.00

Contractor’s Bid -------------------------- $735,885.00
Change Order #1 Total -------------------------- $ 4,380.00
Change Order #2 Total -------------------------- $ 1,600.00
Change Order #3 Total -------------------------- $ 5,000.00
Millennium Contractors - Total Reconstruction Cost -------------------------- $746,865.00

Engineer's Estimate -------------------------- $865,902.40
Millennium Contractors - Total Reconstruction Cost $746,865.00
Difference -------------------------- $119,037.40

Submitted By:

Andrew D. Conover
Inspector 

Heirbrandt made the motion to approve Change Order No. 3 presented, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Benton Hinesley Drain, Grass Waterway - Change Order No. 7:
Conover presented his report to the Board for approval.

"To: Hamilton County Drainage Board December 3, 2019
Re:Benton Hinesley – Grass Waterway Project
Change Order #7

Change Order #7 is for private tile outlets which have been encountered, for erosion control blanket installed at surface water notches cut in spoil banks, to delete 260 feet of 6” SSD and delete 0.20 acres of clearing which was not needed.

Change Order #7
Delete 260 Feet of 8” HDPE @ $12.50 -------------------------- $ 3,250.00
Delete 0.2 Acres Tree Clearing @ $11,250 per acre -------------------------- $ 2,250.00
6” SDR35 - 14 ft@ $8.90 -------------------------- $ 124.00
8” SDR35 - 42 ft@ $10.90 -------------------------- $ 457.80
6” HDPE - 6 ft@ $8.00 -------------------------- $ 48.00
Concrete - 1 Bag@ $8.00 -------------------------- $ 8.00
6” Animal Guard @ $17.47 -------------------------- $ 17.47
8” Animal Guard @ $21.95 -------------------------- $ 65.85
3 Hours Mini Excavator @ $876.00 -------------------------- $ 228.00
3 hours Operator @ $34.00 -------------------------- $ 102.00
3 hours Labor @ $28.00 -------------------------- $ 84.00
Erosion Control Blanket 133 Yards @ $1.50 -------------------------- $ 199.50
Cost by Van Horn Excavating of Change Order #7 -------------------------- $ 4,164.78

Contractor’s Bid -------------------------- $132,990.34
Change Order #1 Total -------------------------- $ 3,239.62
Change Order #2 Total -------------------------- $ 8,296.66
Change Order #3 Total -------------------------- $ 2,670.00
Change Order #4 Total -------------------------- $ 2,441.70
Change Order #5 Total -------------------------- $ 1,888.00
Change Order #6 Total -------------------------- $ 2,674.72
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Plan Review:
Altman asked are we getting backed up in the office again? I’ve had some concerns expressed.

The Surveyor stated we are on plan reviews.

Altman stated people are getting antsy.

The Surveyor stated I know.

Altman asked what can we do about it?

The Surveyor stated I asked for help from the Council and they said no so we’re doing the best we can. I’ve filled in on a couple that I’ve tried to help out myself.

Altman asked can we take it out of the drainage shed or anything else to hire contractors to come in and help get those things done?

Howard asked do we have a plan review fee?
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Heirbrandt made the motion to approve Change Order No. 7 presented, seconded by Dillinger and approved unanimously.

Spills:
Kaitz, Warman, Booth & Dickover Drain – Conover stated this was a response to the fire at Beck’s Hybrids on November 1, 2019 in the area where they grind up corn cobs. We responded since we had a regulated drain just to the east of this building. There were no contaminants coming off the site into the regulated drain.

Construction Updates:
Thorpe Creek Drain, John Underwood Arm Reconstruction – Liston stated I’m dealing with the contractor to finalize everything on this project.

Anchorage Drain, Reconstruction of a Portion of Section 1 – Liston stated the contractor, Agricon, has gotten a good jump on this project. They’re about 160 feet of storm pipe into that project being installed, three new structures and they’re still working.

Ellis Barker Drain Reconstruction – Cline stated the contractor for this project is still mobilizing, getting pipe ordered and structures ordered. They should be moving in the last half of the week to start work.

William Krause Drain Reconstruction Phase 3 – Conover stated the contractor has finished up on the south. We have one more arm that they’re reconstructing and when that gets done they should be completed with this project.

Benton Hinesley Drain, Grass Waterway – Conover stated this project is essentially complete. The contractor has this seeded and they’ll be back next year to reseed that project.

Thistlewaite Drain, California Street Arm Extension – Conover stated this project is complete with the exception of the curb that will be added.

William Krause Drain Reconstruction Phase 1 (Pending Asbuilts) – Conover stated this is completed and we’re waiting on the asbuilts for this project.

William Krause Drain Reconstruction Phase 2 (Pending Asbuilts) – Conover stated this project is complete and we’re waiting on the asbuilts.

Thorpe Creek Drainage Area, Martha Ford Arm Relocation (Pending Asbuilts) – Liston stated the work is done and we’re waiting on asbuilts from the engineer.

Budget & Permit Update:
The Surveyor presented the budget and permit update to the Board for their information. He asked if there were any questions.

There were no questions.

Change Order #7 Total ---------------------------------------- $4,164.78
Van Horn Excavating - Total Reconstruction Cost --------------------- $150,036.26
Fluid Waste Services – Reconstruction Cost -------------------------- $ 1,647.50
Engineer’s Estimate ----------------------------------------------- $165,062.95
Total Reconstruction Cost ---------------------------------------- $151,683.76
Difference ---------------------------------------------------------- $ 13,795.19

Submitted By:
Andrew D. Conover
Inspector ”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Van Horn Excavating - Total Reconstruction Cost</td>
<td>$150,036.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid Waste Services – Reconstruction Cost</td>
<td>$ 1,647.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer’s Estimate</td>
<td>$165,062.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reconstruction Cost</td>
<td>$151,683.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>$ 13,795.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Surveyor stated no, we do not.

Altman asked how much time is it to review? If we hired someone that you trust would it be an hour, two hours?

The Surveyor stated it would depend on the project, probably about two to three hours on a normal project and that’s for the first review. Then we have review of revisions.

Dillinger asked are you talking about contracting?

Altman stated yes, I’m thinking about an alternate if we could do it where you have staff do it we can’t guarantee time, but if you have a plan review fee we’ll send it to an outside contractor to accelerate the process.

The Surveyor stated we do have Clark Dietz doing some when we get into the technical issues that is in the watershed that they’ve done the study on. We send it to them, the same with Burke. We could start looking at sending some to those two in those drainage sheds.

Heirbrandt stated we might as well.

Altman stated we have to get done, work on a structure maybe that we have our own contractor. I would feel better if we had someone that just contracted with us to do it that doesn’t have a vested interest with a conflict.

The Surveyor stated that’s why I use Clark Dietz and Burke because in those drainage sheds, they’ve signed off that they will not work for anybody else in those drainage sheds.

Howard stated so technically they wouldn’t be coming in for the developer they would be reaffirming the work they’ve already done for us. If I understand, what they would be doing would essentially reconciling the proposed development with the existing standards or elevations, whatever, in that watershed and that’s really what your people do.

The Surveyor stated they make sure that ordinances are followed. We also look at the drainage calculations to make sure it meets the ordinance.

Howard stated so they were our hired guns in doing the original work. I wouldn’t see a conflict. We probably would need some kind of quotes for what they would look at and then we could give the developers that are in a hurry to either or; our staff is working on it, but our staff has a lot to work on and it’s not going to slow down therefore, we have a fee expediting that we can outsource this certain thing, but we’d have to know what their fee was and what the services were going to be and how quickly; they could give us quotes on that and we could put an ordinance together.

The Surveyor stated I know Burke has been doing this for Boone County and Hendricks County.

Altman asked can you find out for our next meeting, I know it’s a short meeting, but I would like to go ahead and get it in place.

Howard stated get an idea of what we’re doing and then have something in place for the first meeting.

The Surveyor asked do like we do the on-call contracts?

Altman stated yes.

Howard stated almost.

Altman stated why don’t we do the on-call so we can do the ordinance at the next meeting, get it done, instead of waiting. I don’t know what’s going to get done over Christmas, but at least they have an option. We probably need a fairly big pool in case they get bogged down that it’s really amenable opportunity. At Conference I met a very nice gentleman, he ran for mayor in Madison Indiana, but he’s friends with another Commissioner that was Julie Berry and she knows him personally and introduced us. He is now the Ombudsman with the Governor’s Office with relation between local government and the State and I thought of the Surveyor about perhaps you could have some discussions about our concerns and hold up with some of our State permits. I thought it was an interesting concept from the Governor’s Office.

Heirbrandt asked what was his name?

Altman stated Andrew Forrester. He is the director of Intergovernmental Affairs.

Howard stated that’s one of the things on my list and his list too is a letter to the Governor. Probably just to the Governor even though its from people like you that are pretty good constituents. Probably a copy to the Ombudsman.

Altman stated you can give them specifics of where you’ve had your difficulties and to have a little help on maybe streamlining the system.
Village Farms:
Heirbrandt asked where are we at with Village Farms and some of the discussions? I know you’ve got Clark Dietz looking at that right now. I know that there was some discussion about that the residents may have raised the level of the lake and we advised them maybe not to, but nobody was really clear on any of that.

The Surveyor stated Altman had asked for the covenants and that fell between the cracks and I’ll get those to you yet.

Altman stated I just didn’t want to go out there tromping around and have them throw us off or have a homeowner call.

Heirbrandt stated I don’t think they’re going to throw us off because they’re all freaking out on the flooding that happens every single time it rains. It’s a big issue out there.

Altman stated understood, but the homeowners that are on the lake...

The Surveyor stated I think it’s a self-inflicted wound.

Heirbrandt stated that’s what it sounded like, but nobody confirmed it.

Howard stated and then if you drop the water then the bank’s going to look bad, i.e. Windemere.

Heirbrandt stated but Windemere ended up doing it.

Waltz Drain – Beck’s Vacation:
Heirbrandt asked where are we on Beck’s vacation?

The Surveyor stated I have not gotten back with Adam (Tragessor) yet. I think he is owing me an email at this point in time. We had gone back and forth on emails.

Items Pending (Drainage Board Attorney):
Ordinance for Drainage Structure Replacement/Damage - Howard stated this ordinance would allow us to recover costs of repair for people who damage structures and/or landscape within our easements. About that time, I got a letter from an attorney representing a property owner who has been cited several times for violation of putting a fence in the easement and he had with it a draft of a declaratory...

Altman stated I think we got that email.

Howard stated declaratory resolution that if we didn’t respond to him in seven days, he was going to sue us. I got a little bit fired up and sent him a response and I also included some stronger language in the ordinance not only for damage in the easements, but obstructions in the easements, etc. Before you for introduction this afternoon at your Commissioners meeting, we’ll be looking at Ordinance No. 12-09-19A. It includes prohibition of structures in drainage easements, payment of costs of removal and I took some of the research I did in the junk ordinances and we put up to $2,500.00 per violation, $7,500.00 for a second violation and in addition if we have to go in and expend our funds to remove something those funds plus attorney’s fees and court costs could be added as a lien against the property and the property foreclosed upon. I thought if we put a little teeth in there the divorce lawyers of the world who are trying to practice real estate law might calm down a little bit. I’m taking care of the first item on your list plus beefing up our violation penalties and procedures. That will be for your introduction and then we’ll look at it. I may want to mark it up a little more I have a little more time I’d like to spend with it, but we’ll have it for your final approval on the 19th at your Commissioners meeting.

Altman asked did this letter go out already?

Howard stated yes.

Altman stated I just would have clarified that the easement runs beyond the benefit of the Homeowners Association to a Governmental Entity hence then they would not have the ability to waive fees.

Howard stated I kind of pointed that out. An easement is for our benefit and we really don’t care what the home builder, realtor, the Property Owners Association and the fence company what their legal opinion is. Those were all things that he cited in his declaratory judgement. That out trumped the owner of the easement in his opinion.
**Items Pending:**

Taylor Creek Drain Petition - The Surveyor stated I talked with Mike Hartley and he and his mother (Kay Hartley) have decided not to sign the petition. I asked Mike for a letter from Kay to state that because when they get the additional water, I’ll have something in writing.

Heirbrandt asked he realizes that he’s going to get more water?

The Surveyor stated we’re going to be improving upstream, I don’t know how he could not realize that.

Heirbrandt stated I would definitely put it in writing and make sure it’s on record.

Dillinger made the motion to adjourn, seconded by Heirbrandt and approved unanimously.

---
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Executive Secretary